

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/728,938</p>	<p>Applicant(s) NEMOTO ET AL.</p>
	<p>Examiner SALVADOR E. RIVAS</p>	<p>Art Unit 2477</p>

All Participants:

(1) SALVADOR E. RIVAS.

(2) SIDDHESH PANDIT (REG. No. 58,572).

Date of Interview: 13 December 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:30 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner and Mr.Pandit discussed amending the title of the application to better reflect the subject matter being claimed by the applicant. The examiner made a suggestion to amend the title. The applicant and Mr.Pandit have agreed on the proposed amendment to the title and the title will be amended via an Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Chirag G Shah/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2477

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)